arXiv:1503.06023v2 [math.AG] 15 Jun 2016

TOPOLOGY OF $\mathbb{T}$-VARIETIES

ANTONIO LAFACE, ALVARO LIENDO, AND JOAQUÍN MORAGA

Abstract. In this article, we generalize classical results about the topology of toric varieties to the case of $\mathbb{T}$-varieties using the language of divisorial fans. We describe the Hodge-Deligne polynomials, Chow ring and cohomology ring of certain complexity-one $\mathbb{T}$-varieties. As an application we compute the cohomology groups of a smooth rational complete complexity-one $\mathbb{T}$-variety. Moreover, we prove that the fundamental group of a log-terminal $\mathbb{T}$-variety is isomorphic to the direct sum of the fundamental group of its Chow quotient with a quotient of the fundamental group of the acting torus.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. $\mathbb{T}$-varieties via divisorial fans 3
2. Hodge-Deligne polynomials 4
3. Cohomology Ring 7
4. Fundamental Group 14
References 21

Introduction

In this paper we study normal algebraic varieties endowed with an effective action of an algebraic torus $\mathbb{T}$, the so-called $\mathbb{T}$-varieties. Given a $\mathbb{T}$-variety X we define its complexity as $\dim X - \dim \mathbb{T}$. Such $\mathbb{T}$-varieties admit an algebraic-combinatorial description starting with the well-known case of complexity zero $\mathbb{T}$-varieties, i.e. toric varieties (see e.g. [8] and [17]). The complexity one case was systematically studied in [17], [22] and [11]. Finally, in [1, 2] a combinatorial description is provided for arbitrary $\mathbb{T}$-varieties.

The topology of toric varieties has been well studied (see, e.g. [6, 9, 12–14]). In particular, the fundamental group, the cohomology groups, the cohomology ring and the Chow ring of toric varieties are known in different degrees of generality. In this paper we generalize those results to the case of $\mathbb{T}$-varieties. In the toric setting, these objects depends on the combinatorial and geometric structure of its defining fan, while in higher complexity they depend on the topology of a normal variety Y of dimension $\dim X - \dim \mathbb{T}$, which is a Chow quotient of X by the torus action and on a combinatorial structure on Y, called divisorial fan (see Section 1).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14M25, Secondary 14C15.

The first author was partially supported by Proyecto FONDECYT Regular N. 1150732 and Projecto Anillo ACT 1415 PIA Conicyt. The second author was partially supported by Proyecto FONDECYT Regular N. 1160864.

In the following, we describe the main results in this paper. In Section 2 we compute the Hodge-Deligne polynomial of a smooth projective complexity-one $\mathbb{T}$-variety in terms of its divisorial fan. The folowing theorem allows us to compute the cohomology groups of rational smooth complete compelxity-one $\mathbb{T}$-varieties.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a rational smooth complete complexity-one $\mathbb{T}$-variety. Then $H^k(X,\mathbb{Q})$ are pure, of weight k, and of Hodge-Tate type.*

The Betti numbers of such $\mathbb{T}$-varieties suggest that in general the cohomology ring is not generated in degree one. This motivates the study of the cohomology ring, and Chow ring of complexity-one $\mathbb{T}$-varieties. We focus on the contraction-free case, which corresponds to the $\mathbb{T}$-varieties whose Chow quotient is a good quotient, in this direction we prove the two structure theorems that we state below.

Given a rational contraction-free complexity-one $\mathbb{T}$-variety $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ we construct the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[D \mid D$ is a $\mathbb{T}$-invariant divisor of $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})]$ and the ideal I generated by all the linear relations between the $\mathbb{T}$-invariant divisors, plus the monomials corresponding to subsets of $\mathbb{T}$-invariants divisors with empty intersection.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a complete, simplicial and shellable divisorial fan on $(\mathbb{P}^1, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{Q}[D \mid D \text{ is a } \mathbb{T} \text{ invariant divisor}]/I \simeq A^*(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}))_{\mathbb{Q}} \qquad D + I \mapsto [D]$$

of finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras. In particular, if $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is projective and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial the above isomorphism holds.

The technical notion of shellability is introduced in Definition 3.9. We also prove that the canonical map from the rational Chow groups to rational Borel-Moore homology groups is an isomorphism for these rational complexity-one $\mathbb{T}$-varieties.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a complete, simplicial and shellable divisorial fan on $(\mathbb{P}^1, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then the canonical map from the Chow groups to Borel-Moore homology*

$$A_k(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}))_{\mathbb{Q}} \to H_{2k}(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}), \mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism, and the Borel-Moore homology groups $H_k(\widetilde{X}(S), \mathbb{Q})$ vanish for k odd. In particular, if $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is projective and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial the above isomorphism holds.

Finally, we study the fundamental group of the underlying complex analytic variety of a $\mathbb{T}$-variety of arbitrary complexity. Given a divisorial fan $\mathcal{S}$ on $(Y, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$ we construct a finitely generated abelian group $N(\mathcal{S})$, which is a quotient of N, and a open subset $\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}) \subset Y$. The fundamental group of a $\mathbb{T}$-variety $X(\mathcal{S})$ with mild singularities is given by the following.

Theorem 4. *Let $X(\mathcal{S})$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-variety with log-terminal singularities. Then*

$$\pi_1(X(\mathcal{S})) \simeq N(\mathcal{S}) \times \pi_1(\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S})).$$

As an application of the above theorem, we prove the following.

Corollary 1. *Let (X, Δ) be a Kawamata log terminal pair admitting a torus action with a unique fixed point. Then X is simply connected. In particular, the fundamental group of the spectrum of the Cox ring of a log Fano variety is trivial.*

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 1 we introduce the combinatorial description of $\mathbb{T}$-varieties. Section 2 deals with the Hodge-Deligne polynomials of complexity-one $\mathbb{T}$-varieties, which allows us to compute the cohomology groups of smooth projective complexity-one $\mathbb{T}$-varieties. In Section 3 we compute the Chow ring and cohomology ring, with rational coefficients, of smooth projective contraction-free complexity-one $\mathbb{T}$-varieties. And finally in Section 4 we study the fundamental group of a complex $\mathbb{T}$-variety with log-terminal singularities.

1. $\mathbb{T}$-VARIETIES VIA DIVISORIAL FANS

In this section we recall the combinatorial description of $\mathbb{T}$-varieties due to Altmann, Hausen and Süß [1, 2], see also [3] for a survey on known results about $\mathbb{T}$-varieties.

Let N be a finitely generated free abelian group of rank n and let $M := \operatorname{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ be the dual of N. We denote by $N_\mathbb{Q} := N \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$ and $M_\mathbb{Q} := M \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$ the associated rational vector spaces. We denote by $\mathbb{T}_N := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[M]$ or simply $\mathbb{T}$ when N is clear from the context. Let σ be a pointed polyhedral cone in $N_\mathbb{Q}$. For every convex polyhedron $\Delta \subseteq N_\mathbb{Q}$ we define its *tail cone* as

$$\sigma(\Delta) := \{v \in N_\mathbb{Q} \mid v + \Delta \subseteq \Delta\}$$

and we say that Δ is a σ-polyhedron whenever $\sigma(\Delta) = \sigma$. We denote by $\operatorname{Pol}(\sigma)$ the set of all σ-polyhedra in $N_\mathbb{Q}$, observe that this set endowed with the Minkowski sum form a semigroup.

Given a normal variety Y we denote by $\operatorname{CaDiv}(Y)$ the monoid of effective Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors. A polyhedral divisor on $(Y, N_\mathbb{Q})$ is a formal sum

$$\mathcal{D} := \sum_D \Delta_D \otimes D \in \operatorname{Pol}(\sigma) \otimes \operatorname{CaDiv}(Y)$$

where Δ_D are convex σ-polyhedra in $N_\mathbb{Q}$ and at most finitely many coefficients Δ_D are different from σ. We denote by $\sigma(\mathcal{D})$ the common tail cone of the polyhedra Δ_D and we call it the *tail cone* of $\mathcal{D}$. Observe that we can always write $\mathcal{D} = \sum_D \Delta_D \otimes D$ with D prime divisor and Δ_D being $\sigma(\mathcal{D})$-polyhera. We also will allow for the polyhedral coefficients to be the empty set $\emptyset \in \operatorname{Pol}(\sigma)$ with addition rule $\emptyset + \Delta := \emptyset$ for all $\Delta \in \operatorname{Pol}(\sigma)$. The *locus* of $\mathcal{D}$ is

$$\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{D}) := Y - \bigcup_{\Delta_D = \emptyset} D$$

and we say that $\mathcal{D}$ has *complete locus* if $\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{D}) = Y$ holds. The *support* of $\mathcal{D}$ is $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{D}) := \operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{D}) \cap \bigcup_{\Delta_D \neq \sigma} D$ and the *trivial locus* of $\mathcal{D}$ is

$$\operatorname{triv}(\mathcal{D}) := \operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{D}) - \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{D}).$$

Given a polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}$ on $(Y, N_\mathbb{Q})$ with tail cone σ we have an evaluation map into $\operatorname{CaDiv}(Y)$

$$\mathcal{D} \colon \sigma^\vee \to \operatorname{CaDiv}(Y), \quad u \mapsto \mathcal{D}(u) := \sum \min_{v \in \Delta_D} \langle u, v \rangle D.$$

Observe that for any $u \in \sigma^\vee$, we have that $\mathcal{D}(u)$ has support contained in $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{D})$.

Definition 1.1. We say that a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor is *semiample* if it admits a base point free multiple and we say that it is *big* if some multiple admits a section with affine complement. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a polyhedral divisor on $(Y, N_\mathbb{Q})$ with tail cone σ. Then $\mathcal{D}$

is a *p-divisor* if $\mathcal{D}(u)$ is a semiample divisor for every $u \in \sigma^\vee$ and $\mathcal{D}(u)$ is big for $u \in \operatorname{relint}(\sigma^\vee)$.

Now, we describe the generalization of the usual gluing process for toric varieties to the case of $\mathbb{T}$-varieties. Given a p-divisor $\mathcal{D}$ on $(Y, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with tail cone σ, we denote by $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{D})$ the relative spectrum of the coherent sheaf of algebras

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) := \bigoplus_{u \in \sigma^\vee \cap M} \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{D}(u))$$

on $\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{D})$. The scheme $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{D})$ turns out to be a normal variety with a $\mathbb{T}$-action induced by the grading and there is a good quotient $\pi\colon \widetilde{X}(\mathcal{D}) \to \operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{D})$ given by the inclusion of sheaves $\mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$. Moreover, taking global section we obtain a normal affine $\mathbb{T}$-variety $X(\mathcal{D}) := \operatorname{Spec} H^0(\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}))$ with a proper birational morphism $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{D}) \to X(\mathcal{D})$. The main result in [1] states that every normal affine $\mathbb{T}$-variety arises in this way.

Given two p-divisors $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ on $(Y, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$ we write $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ if $\Delta_{D'} \subseteq \Delta_D$ for each $D \in \operatorname{CaDiv}(Y)$. Observe that if $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ then we have an induced map $X(\mathcal{D}') \to X(\mathcal{D})$. We say that $\mathcal{D}'$ is a *face* of $\mathcal{D}$ if such map is an open embedding. The *intersection* $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{D}'$ of $\mathcal{D}'$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is the polyhedral divisor $\sum_D (\Delta_D \cap \Delta'_D) \otimes D$.

Definition 1.2. A *divisorial fan* $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite set of p-divisors on $(Y, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that the intersection of any two p-divisors of $\mathcal{S}$ is a face of both and $\mathcal{S}$ is closed under taking intersections. When $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is clear from the context we say that $\mathcal{S}$ is a *divisorial fan* on Y.

We denote by $X(\mathcal{S})$ the scheme obtained by gluing the affine $\mathbb{T}$-varieties $X(\mathcal{D})$ and $X(\mathcal{D}')$ along the open subvarieties $X(\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{D}')$ for each $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}' \in \mathcal{S}$. As in the case of toric varieties, $X(\mathcal{S})$ turns out to be a variety and since the gluing is $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant, $X(\mathcal{S})$ is a $\mathbb{T}$-variety [3, Section 4.4] . The set $\{\sigma(\mathcal{D}) \mid \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{S}\}$ form the so-called *tail fan* $\Sigma(\mathcal{S})$ of $\mathcal{S}$. The *locus* of $\mathcal{S}$ is the open set

$$\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}) := \bigcup_{\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{S}} \operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{D}),$$

the *support* of $\mathcal{S}$ is $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{S}) := \bigcup_{\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{S}} \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{D})$ and the *trivial locus* of $\mathcal{S}$ is the open set $\operatorname{triv}(\mathcal{S}) := \bigcap_{\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{S}} \operatorname{triv}(\mathcal{D})$. The main result in [2] states that all normal $\mathbb{T}$-varieties arise this way.

2. Hodge-Deligne polynomials

In this section we give explicit formulas for the class of a complexity-one $\mathbb{T}$-variety in the Grothendieck ring $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ of varieties over $\mathbb{C}$. In order to do this we will introduce the concept of toric bouquet and recall the face-orbit correspondence described in [1, Theorem 10.1].

Given a σ-polyhedron $\Delta \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we denote by $\mathcal{V}(\Delta)$ its set of vertices and by $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$ its *normal fan* consisting of the regions where the function $u \mapsto \min_{v \in \Delta} \langle u, v \rangle$ is linear. The cones of $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$ are in one-to-one dimension-reversing correspondence with the faces $F \preceq \Delta$ via the bijection

$$F \mapsto \lambda(F) := \{u \in M_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \langle F, u \rangle = \min \langle \Delta, u \rangle\}.$$

Given a σ-polyhedron Δ we define the *affine toric bouquet* $X(\Delta) := \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{N}(\Delta)])$, where $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{N}] := \bigoplus_{u \in \sigma^\vee \cap M} \mathbb{K}\chi^u$ as a $\mathbb{K}$-vector space and the multiplication is given by

$$\chi^u \cdot \chi^{u'} := \begin{cases} \chi^{u+u'} & \text{if u,u' belong to a common cone of } \mathcal{N}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{N}]$ is not an integral domain, hence $X(\Delta)$ is not a variety, but is a scheme, nevertheless since $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{N}]$ is reduced, $X(\Delta)$ has a decomposition into a finite union of irreducible toric varieties

$$X(\Delta) := \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{V}(\Delta)} X(\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \cdot (\Delta - v)).$$

These irreducible toric varieties are intersecting along $\mathbb{T}$-invariant subvarieties, hence the big torus $\mathbb{T}$ is still acting on $X(\Delta)$. Observe that the orbits of $X(\Delta)$ are in one-to-one dimension-reversing correspondence with the faces $F \preceq \Delta$. We denote by $\Delta(k)$ the set of faces of codimension k and given a face $F \in \Delta(k)$ we denote by $\mathcal{O}_F$ its corresponding torus orbit of dimension k.

Given a polyhedral complex $\triangle$ on $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with maximal polyhedra $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r$, with respect to the inclusion, we denote by $X(\triangle)$ the scheme obtained by gluing $X(\Delta_i)$ and $X(\Delta_j)$ along $X(\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j)$ for each i and j. Observe that $X(\triangle)$ is a scheme with an action of the big torus $\mathbb{T}$.

Remark 2.1. For any maximal polyhedron Δ of $\triangle$ we have a well-defined preorder $\preceq$ of its faces, such preoders induce a preorder on $\triangle$ that we will denote by $\preceq$ as well. The elements of $\triangle$ will be called *faces* since any such element is a face of a maximal polyhedra in $\triangle$.

We denote by $\triangle(k)$ the set of codimension k faces of $\triangle$. As before, we have a one-to-one dimension-reversing correspondence between the faces of $\triangle$ and the orbits $\mathcal{O}_F$ of $X(\triangle)$. We will denote by $V(F)$ the closure of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_F$ in $X(\triangle)$.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a divisorifal fan on a curve Y and let $\pi \colon \widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}) \to Y$ be the induced good quotient. Given a point $p \in Y$ we define the *slice* $\mathcal{S}_p$ to be the polyhedral complex on $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ defining the fiber $\pi^{-1}(p)$. Observe that $\mathcal{S}_p$ is isomorphic to $\Sigma(\mathcal{S})$ for $p \in Y$ general. As before, any orbit of $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ corresponds to a face F of some slice $\mathcal{S}_p$.

Given a p-divisor $\mathcal{D} = \sum_{i=1}^r \Delta_i \otimes p_i$ on a curve Y, we define its degree to be the polyhedron

$$\deg(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \Delta_i \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Definition 2.2. Given a face F of $\mathcal{S}_p$, we say that F is *contracted* if there exists some p-divisor $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{S}$ of complete locus such that $\sigma(F) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{D})$ and $\deg(\mathcal{D}) \cap \sigma(F) \neq \emptyset$. In this case we say that $\sigma(F)$ is a *contracted cone*.

Remark 2.3. From [1, Theorem 10.1] we have that the orbits of $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ defined by F and F' are identified by the $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant contraction $r \colon \widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}) \to X(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if F and F' are contracted and their tailcones $\sigma(F)$ and $\sigma(F')$ are equal.

We let $\Sigma(\mathcal{S})^{\mathrm{c}}$ be the set of contracted cones, $\Sigma(\mathcal{S})^{\mathrm{nc}}$ its complement and $\mathcal{S}_p^{\mathrm{nc}}$ be the non-contracted polyhedra of $\mathcal{S}_p$.

Recall that the relations of the Grothendieck ring $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ are given by

$$[X] := [X - Z] + [Z] \qquad [X \times_{\mathbb{C}} Y] := [X] \cdot [Y],$$

where Z is a closed subvariety of X. We recall moreover that if $f\colon X \to Y$ is a locally trivial fibration with fibre F then $[X] = [Y] \cdot [F]$. We denote as usual by $\mathbb{L}$ the class of the affine line.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a divisorial fan on a curve Y with support $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$, and let $U = Y - \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$. Then the following holds*

$$[X(\mathcal{S})] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \Big([U] \cdot |\Sigma(\mathcal{S})^{\mathrm{nc}}(k)| + |\Sigma(\mathcal{S})^{\mathrm{c}}(k)| + \sum_{i=1}^{r} |\mathcal{S}_{p_i}{}^{\mathrm{nc}}(k)| \Big) (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{n-k}$$

Proof. Since $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is toroidal over Y and the general fiber of $p\colon \widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}) \to Y$ is isomorphic to $X(\Sigma(\mathcal{S}))$, we compute

$$[\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \Big([U] \cdot |\Sigma(\mathcal{S})(k)| + \sum_{i=1}^{r} |\mathcal{S}_{p_i}(k)| \Big) (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{n-k}.$$

Then, the result follows from the description given on Remark 2.3 of the identification of the orbits via the morphism $r\colon \widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}) \to X(\mathcal{S})$. □

Notation 2.5. Given a polyhedral complex $\triangle$ on $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ we denote

$$h^k(\triangle) := \sum_{l \geq k} (-1)^{l-k} \binom{l}{k} |\triangle(n-l)|.$$

The cohomology groups of complete simplicial toric varieties are well-known (see e.g. [6] and [14]). The following proposition generalizes such computations for complete simplicial toric bouquets.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\triangle$ be a polyhedral complex on $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ defining a complete simplicial toric bouquet $X(\triangle)$. Then the ood cohomology vanishes and we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^{2k}(X(\triangle), \mathbb{Q}) = h^k(\triangle).$$

Proof. Denote by $\Sigma(\triangle)$ the tail fan of $\triangle$. Consider the space $N'_{\mathbb{Q}} = N_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ and the polyhedral complexes $(\Sigma(\triangle), 0) \subseteq N'_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $(\triangle, 1) \subseteq N'_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Now, define Σ' to be the fan containing all the cones generated by $(\sigma(F), 0)$ and $(F, 1)$, for each face F in $\triangle$. Observe that we have a toric morphism $X(\Sigma') \to \mathbb{A}^1$, whose general fiber over $\mathbb{K}^*$ is $X(\Sigma(\triangle))$ and whose fibre over the origin is $X(\triangle)$. Since $X(\Sigma')$ is simplicial we conclude the statement from [7, Corollary C]. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the divisorfal fan on $\mathbb{P}^1$ defining X. Recall that we have a $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant birational contraction $r\colon \widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}) \to X(\mathcal{S})$ and $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is a toroidal variety. Using Chow Lemma and toroidal resolution of singularities we get a birational $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant map $g\colon X(\mathcal{S}') \to X(\mathcal{S})$ such that $X(\mathcal{S}')$ is smooth and $\mathcal{S}'$ is a projective contraction-free divisorial fan on $\mathbb{P}^1$. From Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 we conclude that $H^k(X(\mathcal{S}'))$ is pure, of weight k, and Hodge-Tate type. Poincaré duality and projection formula implies that $g^*\colon H^k(X(\mathcal{S})) \to H^k(X(\mathcal{S}'))$ is injective for g^* the canonical homomorphism, and then $H^k(X(\mathcal{S}))$ is pure, of weight k, and Hodge-Tate type. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a divisorial fan on $\mathbb{P}^1$, with support $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$, defining a smooth complete complexity-one $\mathbb{T}$-variety $X(\mathcal{S})$. Then the odd cohomology vanishes* *and we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^{2k}(X(\mathcal{S}),\mathbb{Q}) = h^k(\Sigma(\mathcal{S})) - rh^k(\Sigma(\mathcal{S})^{\mathrm{nc}}) + h^{k-1}(\Sigma(\mathcal{S})^{\mathrm{nc}}) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} h^k(\mathcal{S}_{p_i}^{\mathrm{nc}}).$$

3. Cohomology Ring

From now we will consider divisorial fans $\mathcal{S}$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$, such that $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is complete and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, and describe the cohomology ring and the Chow Ring under certain conditions (see Definition 3.9). Recall that using [18, Example 2.5] we can see in $\mathcal{S}$ whether $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial variety or not. The results of this sections are generalizations of well-known theorems, see [14, Chapter 5.].

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\triangle$ be a polyhedral complex on $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $A_k(X(\triangle))$ is generated by $\{[V(F)] \mid F \in \triangle(k)\}$.*

Proof. Consider

$$X_i = \bigcup_{k\leq i} \bigcup_{F\in\triangle(k)} \mathcal{O}_F.$$

This gives a filtration $X = X_n \supseteq X_{n-1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq X_{-1} = \emptyset$ by closed subschemes. The complement of X_{i-1} in X_i is a disjoint union of $|\triangle(i)|$ torus orbits of dimension i. We conclude by the exact sequence [15, Proposition 1.8]

$$A_k(X_{i-1}) \to A_k(X_i) \to A_k(X_i - X_{i-1}) \to 0,$$

and induction on i. □

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a divisorial fan on a curve Y. In $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ we have two kind of $\mathbb{T}$-invariant cycles. Given a face F of some slice $\mathcal{S}_p$ we have the corresponding $\mathbb{T}$-invariant cycle $[V(F)] \in A_*(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}))$ called a *vertical cycle*. Observe that $V(F)$ is contained in $\pi^{-1}(p)$. Given a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S})$, we have a $\mathbb{T}$-invariant open subset $Y - \{p_1,\ldots,p_r\} \times \mathcal{O}_\sigma \subset \widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$, we denote its closure by $V(\sigma)$ and we call $[V(\sigma)] \in A_*(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}))$ a *horizontal cycle*.

If $\rho \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S})$ is a ray, then we denote by D_ρ the corresponding *horizontal divisor*. If $v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{S}_p)$ we denote by $D_{(p,v)}$ the corresponding *vertical divisor*.

Proposition 3.3. *Given a divisorial fan $\mathcal{S}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ the Chow group $A_k(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}))$ is generated by the classes of the horizontal and vertical cycles of dimension k.*

Proof. Let $\{p_1,\ldots,p_r\}$ be the support of the divisorial fan $\mathcal{S}$. Recall that each $\pi^{-1}(p_i)$ is a toric bouquet and that we have an isomorphism

$$\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{p_1,\ldots,p_r\}) \simeq X(\Sigma(\mathcal{S})) \times (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{p_1,\ldots,p_r\}).$$

Here $X(\Sigma(\mathcal{S}))$ denotes the toric variety associated to the fan $\Sigma(\mathcal{S})$. Recall that by Proposition 3.1 the Chow group $A_k(\bigcup_{i=1}^r \pi^{-1}(p_i))$ is generated by vertical cycles of dimension k, while using the above isomorphism, [13, Corollary 1.2], and exact sequence of Chow groups for closed subschemes, we see that the Chow group $A_k(\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{p_1,\ldots,p_r\}))$ is generated by vertical and horizontal cycles of dimension k. Using again the exact sequence of Chow groups for the closed subscheme $\bigcup_{i=1}^r \pi^{-1}(p_i)$, the result follows. □

Definition 3.4. Given a polyhedral complex $\triangle$ on $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we say that $\triangle$ is *shellable* [1] if we can order the maximal polyhedra $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k$ of $\triangle$ such that for each i the following set odered by inclusion

$$\{F \preceq \Delta_i \mid F \text{ is not contained in } \cup_{j<i} \Delta_j\},$$

has a unique minimal element denoted by G_i.

For example the fan of a projective and simplicial toric variety is always shellable by [14, Section 5.2, Lemma]. Observe that for each face F of a shellable polyhedral complex Δ there is a unique i such that $G_i \preceq F \preceq \Delta_i$. We denote this index by $i(F)$. Observe that $i(F)$ is the smallest index such that $\Delta_{i(F)}$ contains F.

Definition 3.5. We say that a polyhedral complex $\triangle$ is *simplicial* if for each vertex $v \in \triangle$ and maximal polyhedron $v \in \Delta \in \triangle$ the cone $\mathbb{Q} \cdot (v - \Delta)$ is simplicial.

Let $\triangle$ be a shellable polyhedral complex and $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k$, the order induced in its maximal polyhedra. For $1 \leq i \leq k$ we define the subvarieties of $X(\triangle)$

$$Y_i := \bigcup_{G_i \preceq F \preceq \Delta_i} \mathcal{O}_F = V(G_i) \cap U_{\Delta_i}, \qquad Z_i := Y_i \cup Y_{i+1} \cup \cdots \cup Y_k,$$

where $V(G_i)$ is the closure of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{G_i}$ and U_{Δ_i} is the open subset $\cup_{F \preceq \Delta_i} \mathcal{O}_F$.

Remark 3.6. The given definition coincides with the standard definition of shellability of fans given in [14] for complete toric varieties. Moreover, the toric bouquet $X(\Delta)$ with toric irreducible components $X_1, \dots, X_r$ is shellable then it induces shellability in all the fans defining the irreducible compoents X_i.

Lemma 3.7. *Each Z_i is closed, $Z_1 = X(\triangle)$ and $Z_i - Z_{i+1} = Y_i$. Moreover, if $\triangle$ is simplicial then each Y_i is the quotient of an affine space by a finite group.*

Proof. Recall that for each face F of the $\triangle$ there is a unique i such that $G_i \preceq F \preceq \Delta_i$, then $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is the disjoint union of the sets Y_i. The closure of $\mathcal{O}_F$ is the union of all the orbits $\mathcal{O}_{F'}$ with $F' \supseteq F$. For any such F' we have $i(F') \geq i(F)$ and thus we conclude that each Z_i is closed. The last assertion follows from the fact that each U_{Δ_i} is contained as an open subset in one of the irreducible component X_i of $X(\triangle)$ and X_i is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial toric variety. □

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\triangle$ be a shellable and simplicial polyhedral complex with complete support. Then the classes $\{[V(G_i)] \mid G_i \in \triangle(k)\}$ form a basis for $A_k(X(\triangle))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for each k. Moreover, we have an isomorphism*

$$A_k(X(\triangle))_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq H_{2k}(X(\triangle), \mathbb{Q})$$

and $H_k(X(\triangle)) = 0$ for k odd.

Proof. In the proof all homologies and Chow groups are over $\mathbb{Q}$. Recall that $X(\Delta) = Z_1$. We prove, by descending induction on i, that the canonical map $A_*(Z_i) \to H_*(Z_i)$ is an isomorphism, that the classes $[V(G_i)]$, for any $j \geq i$, form a basis of $A_*(Z_i)$ and that $H_k(Z_i) = 0$ for k odd. Following Fulton [14, Pag. 103] we have a commutative diagram of Chow groups and Borel-Moore homology with rational coefficients

---

[1] This is a generalization of the shellability condition on fans given in [14, Section 5.2] which is related to the shellability problem for cones [4].

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & A_p(Z_{i+1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & A_p(Z_i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & A_p(Y_i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 & \\
\ldots \arrow[r] & H_{2p+1}(Y_i) \arrow[r] & H_{2p}(Z_{i+1}) \arrow[r] & H_{2p}(Z_i) \arrow[r] & H_{2p}(Y_i) \arrow[r] & H_{2p-1}(Z_{i+1}) \arrow[r] & \ldots
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since Y_i is the quotient of an affine space by a finite group we conclude that $A_k(Y_i) \simeq H_{2k}(Y_i) \simeq \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by the class of $V(G_i)$ for $k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Y_i$ and otherwise both spaces are trivial. By induction hypothesis $H_k(Z_{i+1}) = 0$ for k odd. The statement follows. $\square$

Consider a divisorial fan $\mathcal{S}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with support $\{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$, such that $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is complete and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_i$ the polyhedral complex corresponding to the toric bouquet $\pi^{-1}(p_i)$, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and by $\mathcal{S}_0$ the polyhedral complex corresponding to the toric variety $\pi^{-1}(p_0)$, where p_0 is a general point. Assume that $\mathcal{S}_i$ is shellable with ordered maximal polyhedra $\Delta^i_1, \ldots, \Delta^i_{k_i}$ and minimal elements $G^i_1, \ldots, G^i_{k_i}$ for any i. We denote by

$$B_i := \{[V(G^i_j)] \mid j \in \{1, \ldots, k_i\}\}$$

the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space basis of $A_k(V(\mathcal{S}_i))$. For each face F of $\mathcal{S}_0$ we denote by $\mathcal{S}_i(F)$ the set of faces of $\mathcal{S}_i$ which have the same tailcone and the same dimension than F. Observe that since $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, any $F' \in \mathcal{S}_i(F)$ has only one vertex, which we denote by $v(F')$. We define the 0-graded linear map of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces

$$\phi_i \colon A_*(X(\mathcal{S}_0)) \to A_*(X(\mathcal{S}_i)), \qquad [V(G^0_j)] \mapsto \sum_{G \in \mathcal{S}_i(G^0_j)} \mu(v(G))[V(G)], \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mu(v)$ is the smallest integer such that $\mu(v)v \in N$. Observe that ϕ_i is well defined, since B_0 is a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space basis of $A_*(X(\mathcal{S}_0))$ by Proposition 3.8. Moreover ϕ_i is a specialization morphism in the sense of [15, Chapter 20]. Given a cycle $[V] \in A_*(X(\mathcal{S}_0))$ the above homomorphism maps $[V]$ to the class of the intersection of the closure of $V \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$ with the special fibers, the multiplicities comes from the description of the toric neighborhood of such special fibers given in [18, Example 2.5].

Definition 3.9. We say that $\mathcal{S}$ is *complete* if $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is a complete variety. We say that $\mathcal{S}$ is *simplicial* if $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial variety. We say that $\mathcal{S}$ is *shellable* if $\mathcal{S}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_r$ are shellable and ϕ_i is injective for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a divisorial fan such that $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is projective and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, then $\mathcal{S}$ is shellable.*

Proof. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ be the support of $\mathcal{S}$ and p_0 a general point in $\mathbb{P}^1$. The projectiveness of $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ implies that $\pi^{-1}(p_0)$ is defined by a compact polytope $Q \subseteq M_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Moreover, from [3, Proposition 35] we have that every fibre $\pi^{-1}(p_i)$ is defined by a polyhedral subdivision of Q, which we call Q_i. Let Q' be a simplicial common refinement of all the polyhedral subdivisions Q_i for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. The scheme $X(Q')$ is a projective $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial toric bouquet. Now, take an element $v \in N$ such that $\langle v, u \rangle \neq \langle v, u' \rangle$, for any two different vertices u and u' of Q'. Let $\mathcal{S}_i \subseteq N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the polyhedral complex which defines the i-th slice. Observe that the set of maximal polyhedra of $\mathcal{S}_i$ is in bijection with the set of vertices of Q_i. Given a maximal polyhedron Δ of $\mathcal{S}_i$ we will denote by $u(\Delta)$ the corresponding vertex of Q_i. Now, order the maximal polyhedra of $\mathcal{S}_i$ as $\Delta^i_1, \dots, \Delta^i_{k_i}$ such that

$$\langle v, u(\Delta^i_1)\rangle < \cdots < \langle v, u(\Delta^i_{k_i})\rangle.$$

For each face F of $\mathcal{S}_i$ we will denote by $i(F)$ the minimum positive integer i such that $F \preceq \Delta^i_{i(F)}$. From [14, Lemma, page 101] we induced a shellability on each polyhedral complex $\mathcal{S}_i$. Thus, given two faces G^0_n and G^0_m of the fan $\mathcal{S}_0$ of the general fiber, with $n < m$, by construction

$$\min\{i(F) \mid F \in \mathcal{S}_i(G^0_n)\} < \min\{i(F) \mid F \in \mathcal{S}_i(G^0_m)\},$$

which means that the representative matrix of ϕ_i, with respect to the basis B_0 of $A_*(X(\mathcal{S}_0))$ and B_i of $A_*(X(\mathcal{S}_i))$ contains a triangular submatrix of full rank, then ϕ_i are injective for each i. $\square$

Notation 3.11. Given a complete, simplicial and shellable divisorial fan $\mathcal{S}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$, we denote by $\mathbb{Q}[D_\rho, D_{(p,v)}]$ the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by elements D_ρ and $D_{(p,v)}$ for $\rho \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S})(1)$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{S}_p)$, with $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$. For each face F of a slice $\mathcal{S}_p$ with $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$ we define the monomial

$$p(F) = \prod_{\rho\in\sigma(F)} D_\rho \cdot \prod_{v\in\mathcal{V}(F)} D_{(p,v)}.$$

Similarly, for each cone σ of the fan $\Sigma(\mathcal{S})$, we define the monomial $p(\sigma) = \prod_{\rho\in\sigma} D_\rho$. We denote by I the ideal generated by

$$\operatorname{div}(f\chi^u) := \sum_{\rho\in\Sigma(\mathcal{S})(1)} \langle \rho, u\rangle D_\rho + \sum_{p\in\mathbb{P}^1} \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{S}_p)} \mu(v)(\langle v, u\rangle + \operatorname{ord}_p f) \cdot D_{(p,v)}, \tag{3.2}$$

for each rational function $f \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and character lattice $\chi^u \in M$ and by all the monomials which are not of the form $p(F)$ nor $p(\sigma)$.

Proof of Theorem 3. In the proof we omit the rational coefficients in order to abbreviate notation. Let $\widetilde{X} = \widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}) = U \cup Z$, where $Z = \pi^{-1}(p_1) \cup \cdots \cup \pi^{-1}(p_r)$ and $U = \widetilde{X} - Z$. We will also use the notation Z_i for the fiber $\pi^{-1}(p_i)$. We have a commutative diagram of Chow groups and Borel-Moore homology with rational coefficients

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& A_k(Z) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & A_k(\widetilde{X}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & A_k(U) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & 0 \\
H_{2k+1}(U) \arrow[r] & H_{2k}(Z) \arrow[r] & H_{2k}(\widetilde{X}) \arrow[r] & H_{2k}(U) \arrow[r] & H_{2k-1}(Z).
\end{tikzcd}$$

The first vertical arrow is an isomorphism by Proposition 3.8. Using that $A_k(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}) \to H_{2k}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{p_1, \dots, p_r\})$ is an isomorphism for each k, Künneth formula for Borel-Moore homology [5, Section 2.6.19] and Proposition 3.8, we see that the third vertical arrow is an isomorphism. Moreover $H_{2k-1}(Z) = 0$ by Proposition 3.8. We define an injective homomorphism

$$\alpha\colon \mathbb{Q}^{r-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A_*(X(\mathcal{S}_0)) \to A_*(Z) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} A_*(Z_i)$$

defined by

$$(m_1, \dots, m_{r-1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} V \mapsto (m_1\phi_1(V), \dots, m_{r-1}\phi_{r-1}(V), -m\phi_r(V)),$$

where the homomorphisms ϕ_i are defined in (3.1) and $m = m_1 + \cdots + m_r$. By the shellability hypothesis ϕ_i is injective for any i and thus α is injective as well. The image of α is in the kernel of $A_*(Z) \to A_*(\widetilde{X})$. Indeed, using (3.2), we can see that $(m_1, \dots, m_{r-1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} [V]$ is mapped by the composition $i_* \circ \alpha$ to the cycle $[\mathrm{div}(f\chi^0)] \cap [\alpha(V)]$, where f has order m_i at p_i, for $i \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ and order $-\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} m_i$ at p_r. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathbb{Q}^{r-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A_k(X(\mathcal{S}_0)) \arrow[d, hook, "\alpha"] & & & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] & A_k(Z) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & A_k(\widetilde{X}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & A_k(U) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & 0 \\
 & \mathbb{Q}^{r-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H_{2k}(X(\mathcal{S}_0)) \arrow[r] & H_{2k}(Z) \arrow[r] & H_{2k}(\widetilde{X}) \arrow[r] & H_{2k}(U) \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where K is the kernel of $A_k(Z) \to A_k(\widetilde{X})$, and i is the injection induced by the above homomorphism. Then, using the four lemma we conclude that the second vertical arrow is surjective. Proposition 3.8 implies that $\dim(A_k(X(\mathcal{S}_0))_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \dim(H_{2k}(X(\mathcal{S}_0), \mathbb{Q}))$ for every k, therefore the second vertical arrow is an isomorphism. $\square$

Now we prove three lemmas about the structure of the ring $\mathbb{Q}[D_\rho, D_{(p,v)}]/I$, in order to prove Theorem 2. Recall that given a complete, simplicial and shellable divisorial fan $\mathcal{S}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with support $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ we denote by $\Delta_1^i, \dots, \Delta_{k_i}^i$ the ordered maximal polyhedra of $\mathcal{S}_i$ and we denote by $G_1^i, \dots, G_{k_i}^i$ the minimal elements for any i. Moreover, we will denote by $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k$, the ordered maximal cones of $\Sigma(\mathcal{S})$ and we denote by $G_1, \dots, G_k$ the minimal elements. We assume that the shellability induced in $\mathcal{S}_0$ and $\Sigma(\mathcal{S})$ are the same.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a complete and simplicial divisorial fan on $\mathbb{P}^1$ and let $\mathcal{S}_i$ be a non-trivial slice of $\mathcal{S}$ over p_i. Let $\emptyset \neq G \prec H \preceq F$ be faces of $\mathcal{S}_i$, with G proper face of H. Then there exists an element of I of the form*

$$p(H) - \sum_j m_j p(H_j),$$

where each H_j is a face of $\mathcal{S}_i$, containing G, not contained in F, and each m_j is a rational number.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V}(F) = \{v_1 \dots, v_k\}$, and $\sigma(F) = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{k'}\}$. We prove the case where $v_1 \in \mathcal{V}(H)$ is not in $\mathcal{V}(G)$ (the case where exists $\rho \in \sigma(H)$ not in $\sigma(G)$ is analogous). Recall that the set

$$S := \{(\rho_1, 0), \dots, (\rho_{k'}, 0), (v_1, 1), \dots, (v_k, 1)\},$$

is linearly independent in $N_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$. Consider an element $u' \in M \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \mathrm{Hom}(N \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ which vanishes at all the elements of $S - \{(v_1, 1)\}$ and $u'(v_1, 1) = a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let u be the restriction of u' to M and consider a rational function $f \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ with

$\mathrm{ord}_{p_i}(f) = au'(v_1, 1)$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{p_k}(f) = 0$ for $k \neq i$. Recall that $\mathrm{div}(f\chi^u)$ is in I by definition. Moreover, by (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{div}(f\chi^u) := \sum_{\rho\in\sigma(F)^c} \langle\rho, u\rangle D_\rho + \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}(F)^c} \mu(v)(\langle v, u\rangle + \mathrm{ord}_{p_i} f) \cdot D_{(p_i,v)} \\ + D_{(p_i,v_1)} + \sum_{p\in\mathbb{P}^1-\{p_i\}} \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{S}_p)} \mu(v)(\langle v, u\rangle + \mathrm{ord}_p f) \cdot D_{(p,v)},\end{aligned}$$

where all but the summand $D_{(p_i,v_1)}$ correspond to vertices or rays which are not in $\mathcal{V}(F)\cup\sigma(F)$. Multiplying $\mathrm{div}(f\chi^u)$ by $p(H)/D_{(p_i,v_1)}$, subtracting all the monomials which are not of the form $p(F)$, with F a face of $\mathcal{S}_i$, and observing that $p(G)$ divides $p(H)/D_{(p_i,v_1)}$, we conclude the result. □

Lemma 3.13. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a complete, simplicial and shellable divisorial fan on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\mathcal{S}_i$ be the slice over p_i for $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$. The ideal $I_i := \langle D_{(p,v)} + I \mid v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{S}_i)\rangle$ of $\mathbb{Q}[D_\rho, D_{(p,v)}]/I$ is generated, as a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space, by the set*

$$\{p(G^i_j) + I \mid 1 \leq j \leq k_i\}.$$

Proof. First we prove that I_i is generated by square-free monomials. To this aim it is enough to show that for any face F of $\mathcal{S}_i$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ the element $D_{(p_i,v)}p(F)$ and $D_\rho p(F)$ are equivalent to sum of square-free monomials modulo I. Following the proof of Lemma 3.12 we can find an element $\mathrm{div}(f\chi^u) \in I$ which contains $D_{(p_i,v)}$ as a summand and the other summands are not divisible by the divisors corresponding to vertices and rays of $\mathcal{V}(F)$ and $\sigma(F)$ respectively. Multiplying this element by $p(F) + I$ we conclude that $D_{(p_i,v)}p(F)$ is equal to a linear combination of square-free monomials. A similar argument applies to $D_\rho p(F)$.

By descending induction on k, we prove that if $G^i_k \preceq H \preceq F_k$ then $p(H) + I$ is in the submodule generated by $p(G^i_j) + I$ with $j \geq k$. If $H = G^i_k$ we are done, otherwise we can apply Lemma 3.12 to $\emptyset \neq G^i_k \preceq H \preceq F_k$ and conclude by the induction hypothesis. □

In the following proof, given a face $F \in \mathcal{S}_{p_i}$, with $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, we will denote by $\mathcal{D}_F$ the p-divisor with support $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ and slices $\mathcal{D}_{F\,p_i} = F$ and $\mathcal{D}_{F\,p_j} = \emptyset$, if $j \neq i$. Recall that we have a open $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant embedding $X(\mathcal{D}_F) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$.

Lemma 3.14. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a complete, simplicial and shellable divisorial fan on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then every $\mathbb{T}$-invariant prime subvariety of $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is the complete intersection of $\mathbb{T}$-invariant divisors.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is a divisorial fan on $\mathbb{P}^1$, we have from [18, Example 2.5] that $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ is toroidal. Then, any vertical $\mathbb{T}$-invariant prime subvariety is complete intersection of $\mathbb{T}$-invariant divisors. On the other hand, since $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ admits an invariant open set isomorphic to $X(\Sigma(\mathcal{S})) \times (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{p_1, \dots, p_r\})$, it is enough to check that every horizontal $\mathbb{T}$-invariant prime subvariety is complete intersection of $\mathbb{T}$-invariant divisors in a neighborhood of the fibers $\pi^{-1}(p_i)$. Recall that all the horizontal $\mathbb{T}$-invariant prime subvarieties are of the form $V(\sigma)$ for some cone $\sigma \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S})$. Localizing to a toric neighborhood of a closed point $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$, we have the following equality

$$V(\sigma) \cap \pi^{-1}(p) = \bigcup_{\{F\in\mathcal{S}_p \mid \sigma\preceq\sigma(F)\}} \mathcal{O}_F,$$

where the $\subseteq$ inclusion is clear, while the $\supseteq$ inclusion is due to the fact that if F is in $\mathcal{S}_p$ and $\sigma(F)$ does not contain σ, then $X(\mathcal{D}_F)$ is an open subset of $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ which contains $\mathcal{O}_F$ and is disjoint from $V(\sigma)$. By applying the above formula to the one dimensional cones of σ we get the equality

$$V(\sigma) = \bigcap_{\rho\in\sigma(1)} D_\rho.$$

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. We have a canonical homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q}[D_\rho, D_{(p,v)}] \to A^*(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})), \qquad D \mapsto [D]$$

which maps every D_ρ (resp. $D_{(p,v)}$) to the class of the corresponding divisor. In $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ every $\mathbb{T}$-invariant cycle is intersection of $\mathbb{T}$-invariant divisors by Lemma 3.14, so that the above homomorphism is surjective. Recall by [3, Theorem 26] that given a rational function f of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and a character χ^u of the torus acting on $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$, the element $\operatorname{div}(f\chi^u) \in \mathbb{Q}[D_\rho, D_{(p,v)}]$ is in the kernel of the homomorphism. Consider a monomial m of the form

$$D_{\rho_1}\dots D_{\rho_{k'}} D_{(p_1,v_1)}\dots D_{(p_k,v_k)} \in \mathbb{Q}[D_\rho, D_{(p,v)}].$$

If there exist $1 \le i < j \le k$ such that $p_i \neq p_j$ then the image of m in $A^*(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}))$ is zero because we are intersecting two divisors which are in diferent fibres of the quotient morphism $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathbb{P}^1$. If all the p_i's are equal, we can localize to the toric neighborhood $X(\Sigma_i)$ of $\pi^{-1}(p_i)$ and see that there exists no face F in $\mathcal{S}_{p_i}$ such that $\sigma(F) = \langle\rho_1,\dots,\rho_{k'}\rangle$ and $\mathcal{V}(F) = \{v_1,\dots,v_k\}$ if and only if there exists no cone in Σ_i generated by $\{(\rho_1,0),\dots,(\rho_{k'},0),(v_1,1),\dots,(v_k,1)\}$. The last condition is equivalent to ask for the divisors in m to have empty intersection. If this is the case then m is in the kernel of the homomorphism. If there is no $D_{(p_i,v_i)}$ in the monomial, and there is no cone $\sigma \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\rho_1,\dots,\rho_{k'}$ generates σ, then the horizontal divisors in m have empty intersection by [14, Section 5.2]. Thus we have a well-defined surjective homomorphism

$$\phi\colon \mathbb{Q}[D_\rho, D_{(p,v)}]/I \to A^*(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})).$$

In order to conclude we will show that there is a subset of $\mathbb{Q}[D_\rho, D_{(p,v)}]/I$ which generates it as a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space and whose image is a basis of the Chow ring as $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space.

Observe that the subring of $A^*(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ generated by $\{D_\rho \mid \rho \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S})(1)\}$ is isomorphic to $A^*(X(\Sigma(\mathcal{S})))_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and by Proposition [14, Chapter 5] it is generated as $\mathbb{Q}$-vectorial space by the monomials $p(G_j)$, with $j \in \{1,\dots,k\}$. From now, we use the notation of the proof of Theorem 3. The restriction of ϕ to I_i induces a surjective homomorphism

$$\alpha_i\colon I_i \to A^*(X(\mathcal{S}_i))$$

of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces which maps $\{p(G^i_j) + I \mid j \in \{1,\dots,k_i\}\}$ into a basis of the codomain. Then α_i is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.13. Consider a rational function $f \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ with $\operatorname{ord}_{p_0}(f) = 1$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{p_i}(f) = -1$. Expanding the product $p(G_j)\operatorname{div}(f\chi^0) \in I$ we deduce the following

$$p(G^0_j) + I = \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{S}_i)} \mu(v)p(G_j)D_{(p_i,v)} + I.$$

For each i, we define the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map

$$I_0 \to I_i \qquad p(F) + I \mapsto \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{S}_i)} \mu(v) p(F) D_{(p_i,v)} + I.$$

which makes the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I_0 \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & I_i \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & \mathbb{Q}[D_\rho, D_{(p,v)}]/I \arrow[d, "\phi"] \\
A_*(X(\mathcal{S}_0)) \arrow[r, hook, "j_i"] & A_*(X(\mathcal{S}_i)) \arrow[r, hook] & A_*(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})).
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, for each i we can choose a set of elements $\mathcal{B}_i$ of I_i which are linear combination of the monomials $p(G^i_j) + I$, such that the image of $\mathcal{B}_i$ via ϕ is a basis of $\operatorname{coker}(j_i)$. Observe that $\{p(G^0_j) + I \mid j \in \{1, \ldots, k_0\}\} \cup \mathcal{B}_i$ generates I_i as a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space for each i. Being $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial toroidal variety we have that

$$A^{n-k}(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})) \simeq A_k(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}))$$

and using Theorem 3 we have that

$$A_k(\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S}))) \simeq A_{k-2}(X(\Sigma(\mathcal{S}))) \oplus A_k(X(\mathcal{S}_0)) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{coker}(j_i)(k),$$

where $\operatorname{coker}(j_i)(k)$ denotes the k-graded piece of $\operatorname{coker}(j_i)$. We conclude that the set

$$\{p(G_j) + I \mid j \in \{1, \ldots k\}\} \cup \{p(G^0_j) + I \mid j \in \{1, \ldots, k_0\}\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{B}_i,$$

is a subset of $\mathbb{Q}[D_\rho, D_{(p,v)}]/I$ which generates it as a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space and whose image is a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of the Chow ring. □

Remark 3.15. Observe that the conclusion of Theorem 2 is no longer true if we substitute $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{S})$ with $X(\mathcal{S})$. As an example consider the quadric $Q = V(x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + x_5x_6)$ of $\mathbb{P}^5$. It admits an effective action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ and thus is a $\mathbb{T}$-variety of complexity-one, so that $Q = X(\mathcal{S})$ for some divisorial fan $\mathcal{S}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$. On the other hand Q is isomorphic to the Plücker embedding of the Grassmannian $G(2,4)$. We can compute the dimension of the cohomology groups of Q using Corollary 2.7:

$$h^0(Q) = 1, \quad h^2(Q) = 1, \quad h^4(Q) = 2, \quad h^6(Q) = 1, \quad h^8(Q) = 1.$$

Then, the Chow ring of Q is generated in degree one and two.

4. Fundamental Group

This section is devoted to prove Theorem 4 in the introduction. Let us remark that the log-terminal assumption on the theorem is essential. Indeed, let $\mathcal{D}$ be any p-divisor on a projective curve Y of positive genus, such that $\mathcal{D}$ has complete locus, then by [18, Corollary 5.4] the $\mathbb{T}$-variety $X := X(\mathcal{D})$ is not log-terminal. Moreover, since $Y = \operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{D})$ is projective, X has an attractive fixed point and thus $\pi_1(X)$ is trivial, while $\pi_1(\operatorname{loc}(D))$ is non-trivial.

Definition 4.1. An *intersection set* of $\mathcal{D}$ is a non-empty intersection of divisors of $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{D})$. The intersection set is called *maximal intersection set* if it does not properly contain an intersection set. Given $y \in Y$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}_y$ the polyhedron $\sum_{y \in D} \Delta_D \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Given a p-divisor $\mathcal{D}$ on a normal projective variety Y, we denote by $(N_{\mathcal{D}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the subspace of $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ generated by the subset

$$\{v_1 - v_2 \mid v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{D}_y \text{ for } y \in Y\}.$$

We denote by $N_{\mathcal{D}} := N \cap (N_{\mathcal{D}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the sublattice of N of the integer points of $(N_{\mathcal{D}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and by $N(\mathcal{D})$ the quotient $N/N_{\mathcal{D}}$. Given a divisorial fan $\mathcal{S}$ on a variety Y, we denote by $N_{\mathcal{S}}$ the sublattice of N generated by $\{N_{\mathcal{D}} \mid \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{S}\}$ and by $N(\mathcal{S})$ the following quotient

$$N(\mathcal{S}) := N/N_{\mathcal{S}}.$$

Definition 4.2. Given a p-divisor $\mathcal{D} = \sum \Delta_D \otimes D$ on $(Y, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$ we call the $\sigma(\mathcal{D})$-polyhedra Δ_D the *polyhedral coefficients* of $\mathcal{D}$.

Given an open subset $V \subseteq Y$, we define the restriction of the polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}$ to V as the polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}|_V$ on $(V, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$ given by:

$$\mathcal{D}|_V := \begin{cases} \sum_{D \cap V \neq \emptyset} \Delta_D \otimes D|_V & \text{if } V \not\subseteq \operatorname{triv}(\mathcal{D}), \\ \sigma(\mathcal{D}) \otimes D & \text{for some } D \in \operatorname{CaDiv}(V), \text{ if } V \subseteq \operatorname{triv}(\mathcal{D}). \end{cases}$$

Given a divisorial fan $\mathcal{S}$ on Y and a open subset $V \subseteq Y$, we define the restriction $\mathcal{S}|_V$ to be the set of p-divisors $\{\mathcal{D}|_V \mid \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{S}\}$.

We say that a divisorial fan $\mathcal{S}$ is *contraction-free* if all the p-divisors $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{S}$ have affine locus. Recall that for any divisorial fan S we have a rational quotient map $\pi \colon X(\mathcal{S}) \dashrightarrow \operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S})$ and if $\mathcal{S}$ is contraction-free such map is a morphism. Given a contraction-free divisorial fan $\mathcal{S}$ on $(Y, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$ we denote by $\operatorname{triv}(\mathcal{S})$ the trivial locus of $\mathcal{S}$, meaning that the bundle $\pi^{-1}(\operatorname{triv}(\mathcal{S})) \to \operatorname{triv}(\mathcal{S})$ is trivial with fiber $X(\Sigma(\mathcal{S}))$. Given an open subset V of Y we will adopt the following notation

$$U := \pi^{-1}(V) \qquad V_{\text{triv}} := V \cap \operatorname{triv}(\mathcal{S}) \qquad U_{\text{triv}} := \pi^{-1}(V_{\text{triv}}) \simeq X(\Sigma(\mathcal{S})) \times V_{\text{triv}}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 4, we will prove Proposition 4.3 via relaxing the hypothesis successively in Lemmas 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7. In what follows we will assume Y to be a smooth variety and all the p-divisors to have tail cone $\sigma(\mathcal{D}) = \sigma$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a contraction-free divisorial fan on $(Y, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $X(\mathcal{S})$ is smooth. Let $V \subseteq \operatorname{Loc}(\mathcal{D})$ an open subset, then the following hold.*

- *There is an isomorphism $\pi_1(U) \simeq N(\mathcal{S}|_V) \times \pi_1(V)$.*
- *The above isomorphism, and the isomorphism induced by the the trivialization $t \colon U_{\text{triv}} \simeq X(\Sigma(\mathcal{S})) \times V_{\text{triv}}$ fits in the following commutative diagram with exact rows*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & \pi_1(U_{\text{triv}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq", "t^*"'] & \pi_1(U) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & K' \arrow[r] & N(\Sigma(\mathcal{S})) \times \pi_1(V_{\text{triv}}) \arrow[r, "\alpha \times \beta"] & N(\mathcal{S}|_V) \times \pi_1(V) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where α is induced by the inclusion of lattices $N|_{\mathcal{S}|_V} \subseteq N|_{\Sigma(\mathcal{S})}$ and β is induced by the inclusion of open sets $V_{\text{triv}} \subseteq V$.

Given a p-divisor $\mathcal{D}$ on Y we have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{T}_N \arrow[r, "t\mapsto(t,v)"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{T}_N \times V_{\mathrm{triv}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & V_{\mathrm{triv}} \arrow[d] \\
X(\sigma(\mathcal{D})) \arrow[r] & \widetilde{X} \arrow[r] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are open embeddings and $X(\sigma(\mathcal{D})) \to \widetilde{X}$ is the inclusion of the fibre $\pi^{-1}(y) = X(\sigma(\mathcal{D}))$ over y. Passing to the fundamental group and using [6, Theorem 12.1.10] we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & N \times \pi_1(V_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_1(V_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
 & N(\sigma(\mathcal{D})) \arrow[r] & \pi_1(\widetilde{X}) \arrow[r] & \pi_1(Y) \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.1}$$

If $\widetilde{X}$ and Y are smooth, by [19, Lemma 1.5.C] the rows are exact and by [6, Theorem 12.1.5] the vertical arrows are surjective.

Lemma 4.4. *Let Y be an affine variety and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a p-divisor on $(Y, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $X = X(\mathcal{D})$ is smooth. Assume that there is only one maximal intersection set, and that $N(\mathcal{D}_{y_0})$ is trivial for some $y_0 \in Y$ contained in such maximal intersection set. Then the statement of Proposition 4.3 holds for $V = Y$.*

Proof. Since Y is affine we have $\widetilde{X} = X$. To prove the first claim of Proposition 4.3 we need to prove that $\pi_1(\widetilde{X}) \simeq \pi_1(Y)$, which is equivalent to prove that the homomorphism $N(\sigma(\mathcal{D})) \to \pi_1(\widetilde{X})$ in the commutative diagram (4.1) is trivial. Observe that this last claim is implied by the fact that the homomorphism $N \to \pi_1(\widetilde{X})$ is trivial. Since the latter factor through $N \times \pi_1(V_{\mathrm{triv}})$ is enough to prove that any loop contained in a fiber of π is homotopically equivalent to the constant loop.

By [1, Proposition 7.6] the fibre $\pi^{-1}(y_0)$ of the good quotient $\pi\colon \widetilde{X} \to Y$ contains a fixed point p, with respect to the torus action, which correspond to the unique maximal polyhedron of the slice. Let $\pi^{-1}(y)$ be an irreducible fiber of π. By [6, Theorem 12.1.15] the inclusion $\mathbb{T}_N \to \pi^{-1}(y)$ induces a surjection $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}_N) \to \pi_1(\pi^{-1}(y))$, so that any loop in the fiber is homotopically equivalent to a loop ν in $\mathbb{T}_N$ whose base point we can assume, without loss of generality, to be the neutral element $1 \in \mathbb{T}_N$. Let γ be a path from 1 to the fixed point $p \in \pi^{-1}(y_0)$. The homotopy

$$H\colon I^2 \to \widetilde{X} \qquad (t,s) \mapsto \nu(t)\cdot\gamma(s)$$

shows that ν is homotopically equivalent to the constant loop at p. Thus the homomorphism $N \to \pi_1(\widetilde{X})$ is trivial and the first statement of Proposition 4.3 holds. The second statement, follows from the triviality of the homomorphism $N(\sigma(\mathcal{D})) \to \pi_1(Y)$ as well. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let Y be an affine variety and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a p-divisor on $(Y, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $X = X(\mathcal{D})$ is smooth. Assume that there is only one maximal intersection set, that any prime divisor of* $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{D})$ *is principal and that $\mathcal{D}$ has at least one polyhedral coefficient of positive dimension. Then the statement of Proposition 4.3 holds for $V = Y$.*

Proof. Write $\mathcal{D} = \sum_D \Delta_D \otimes D$. For each D let $v_D \in N$ such that $\Delta_D - v_D \subseteq (N_{\mathcal{D}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and let $\mathcal{D}' := \sum_D (\Delta_D - v_D) \otimes D$. By hypothesis the divisor $\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}'$ is principal [3, Section 3] and thus the sheaves of algebras $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}')$ are isomorphic. Being $\mathcal{D}'$ contained in a subspace $(N_{\mathcal{D}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ we conclude that there are isomorphisms

$$\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{D}) := \operatorname{Spec}_{\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{D})} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) \simeq \operatorname{Spec}_{\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{D})} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}') \simeq \mathbb{T}_{N(\mathcal{D})} \times \operatorname{Spec}_{\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{D})} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}'_0)$$

where $\mathcal{D}'_0$ is the polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}'$ with coefficients restricted to the subspace $(N_{\mathcal{D}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then $\mathcal{D}'_0$ is a p-divisor on Y satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 4.4. Therefore, we have that $\pi_1(U_{\text{triv}}) \simeq N(\mathcal{D}) \times \pi_1(V_{\text{triv}})$ and $\pi_1(\widetilde{X}) \simeq N(\mathcal{D}) \times \pi_1(Y)$, and the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $U_{\text{triv}} \subset \widetilde{X}$ is the identity on the first component and the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $V_{\text{triv}} \subset Y$ on the second component. ☐

Lemma 4.6. *Let Y be an affine variety and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a p-divisor on $(Y, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $X = X(\mathcal{D})$ is smooth. Assume that there is only one maximal intersection set, that any prime divisor of* supp$(\mathcal{D})$ *is principal. Then the conclusion of Proposition 4.3 holds for $V = Y$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.5 we reduce to the case when all the polyhedral coefficients of $\mathcal{D}$ are points. Observe that in this case the morphism $\widetilde{X} \to Y$ is a topological $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$-fibration. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that all the polyhedral coefficients of $m\mathcal{D}$ are integral points, then we have that $X(m\mathcal{D}) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times Y$, by [3, Section 3]. Observe that the morphism $X(\mathcal{D}) \to X(m\mathcal{D})$, induced by the inclusion of the corresponding Veronese subalgebra, is the quotient by the group $(\mathbb{Z}_m)^n$. Both p-divisors have the same trivial open subset and we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times V_{\text{triv}} \arrow[d, "\operatorname{id}\times\imath"] & (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times V_{\text{triv}} \arrow[l, "{(z_1^m,\dots,z_n^m,u) \leftarrow\!\shortmid (z_1,\dots,z_n,u)}"'] \arrow[d] \\
(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times Y & X(\mathcal{D}) \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are open embeddings. Passing to the fundamental group we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathbb{Z})^n \times \pi_1(V_{\text{triv}}) \arrow[d] & (\mathbb{Z})^n \times \pi_1(V_{\text{triv}}) \arrow[l, "{(mz_1,\dots,mz_n,u) \leftarrow\!\shortmid (z_1,\dots,z_n,u)}"'] \arrow[d] \\
(\mathbb{Z})^n \times \pi_1(Y) & \pi_1(X(\mathcal{D})) \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical arrows are surjective morphisms by [6, Theorem 12.1.5]. By the commutativity of the diagram we conclude that $\pi_1(X(\mathcal{D})) \simeq (\mathbb{Z})^n \times (\pi_1(V_{\text{triv}})/K)$, where K is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(V_{\text{triv}})$. On the other hand, we have the long exact sequence of the fibration $X(\mathcal{D}) \to Y$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1((\mathbb{C}^*)^n) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & \pi_1(X(\mathcal{D})) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \arrow[dr] & & \\
\mathbb{Z}^n \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}^n \times (\pi_1(V_{\text{triv}})/K) \arrow[r] & \pi_1(Y) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Choosing $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ to be a fibre of a point in the trivial open subset of Y we can see that the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^n \times (\pi_1(V_{\mathrm{triv}})/K)$ is the inclusion on the first component. Thus $\pi_1(X(\mathcal{D})) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n \times \pi_1(Y)$ and the result follows. ☐

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a p-divisor on $(Y, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $X = X(\mathcal{D})$ is smooth. Then the conclusion of Proposition 4.3 holds.*

Proof. We split the proof in four steps.

(1) Observe that if Proposition 4.3 holds for the open sets $V^1 \subseteq V^2 \subseteq Y$ then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N(\sigma(\mathcal{D})) \times \pi_1(V^1_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}\times i_{12}^*"] \arrow[d, "\alpha^1\times\beta^1"] & N(\sigma(\mathcal{D})) \times \pi_1(V^2_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[d, "\alpha^2\times\beta^2"] \\
N(\mathcal{D}|_{V^1}) \times \pi_1(V^1) \arrow[r] & N(\mathcal{D}|_{V^2}) \times \pi_1(V^2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $i_{12}\colon V^1 \to V^2$ is the inclusion. We conclude that the bottom horizontal map is of the form $s_{12} \times i_{12}^*$, where s_{12} is the surjection induced by $N_{\mathcal{D}|_{V_2}} \to N_{\mathcal{D}|_{V_1}}$.

(2) If Proposition 4.3 holds for the open sets $V^1, V^2 \subseteq Y$ and $V^1 \cap V^2$ then by Seifert Van-Kampen Theorem [16, Theorem 1.20] we have two push-out diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N(\mathcal{D}|_{V^1\cap V^2}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & N(\mathcal{D}|_{V^1}) \arrow[d] & \pi_1(V^1\cap V^2) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_1(V^1) \arrow[d] \\
N(\mathcal{D}|_{V^2}) \arrow[r] & N(\mathcal{D}|_{V^1\cup V^2}) & \pi_1(V^2) \arrow[r] & \pi_1(V^1\cup V^2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Taking the free product of the two diagrams and using Step (1) we get the following push-out diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1(U^1\cap U^2) \arrow[r, "\imath_1"] \arrow[d, "\imath_2"] & \pi_1(U^1) \arrow[d] \\
\pi_1(U^2) \arrow[r] & N(\mathcal{D}|_{V^1\cup V^2}) \times \pi_1(V^1\cup V^2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the maps $\imath_1$ and $\imath_2$ are induced by the inclusion of the corresponding open subsets. Then $\pi_1(U_1 \cup U_2)$ is isomorphic to $N(\mathcal{D}|_{V^1\cup V_2}) \times \pi_1(V^1 \cup V^2)$. Observe that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1(U^1_{\mathrm{triv}}\cap U^2_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_1(U^1_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[d] \arrow[ddr, "p_1"] & \\
\pi_1(U^2_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[drr, "p_2"'] & \pi_1(U^1_{\mathrm{triv}}\cup U^2_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[dr, dotted, "p"] & \\
& & \pi_1(U^1\cup U^2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where p_i is the composition $\pi_1(U^i_{\mathrm{triv}}) \to \pi_1(U^i) \to \pi_1(U^1 \cup U^2)$ induced by the two inclusion maps. Moreover, the square diagram is a push-out. Then by universal property of the push-out diagram the unique homomorphism p is induced by the inclusion $U^1_{\text{triv}} \cup U^2_{\text{triv}} \subseteq U^1 \cup U^2$. Finally, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1(U^1_{\text{triv}} \cup U^2_{\text{triv}}) \arrow[r, "p"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & \pi_1(U^1 \cup U^2) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
N(\sigma(\mathcal{D})) \times \pi_1(V^1_{\text{triv}} \cup V^2_{\text{triv}}) \arrow[r, "p'"] & N(\mathcal{D}|_{V^1 \cup V^2}) \times \pi_1(V^1 \cup V^2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where p' is induced by the inclusions $N_{\sigma(\mathcal{D})} \subseteq N_{\mathcal{D}|_{V^1 \cup V^2}}$ and $V^1_{\text{triv}} \cup V^2_{\text{triv}} \subseteq V^1 \cup V^2$. Thus, Proposition 4.3 holds for $V^1 \cup V^2$.

(3) Suppose that Proposition 4.3 holds for the open subsets $V_1, \dots, V_k$ of Y and for every intersection of this sets. We conclude by Step (2) that Proposition 4.3 also holds for $\cup_{i=1}^k V_i$. Indeed, suppose by induction that it holds for $\cup_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i$ and $V_k \cap (\cup_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i) = \cup_{i=1}^{k-1}(V_k \cap V_i)$, then by Step (2) it also holds for $\cup_{i=1}^k V_i$.

(4) Let $V \subseteq Y$ be any open subset. Consider a finite affine open cover V_i of V such that every divisor of $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{D})$ is principal at any V_i, and $\mathcal{D}|_{V_i}$ has only one maximal intersection set. Let V' be any finite intersection of the V_i's, then V' is an open affine set, so $\mathcal{D}|_{V'}$ is a p-divisor on V' with only one maximal intersection set. By Lemma 4.6 we conclude that Proposition 4.3 holds for V'. Thus, we are in situation of Step (3) and Proposition 4.3 also holds for V.

□

Proof of Proposition 4.3. We proceed by induction on the number n of p-divisors of $\mathcal{S}$. If $n = 1$ then $X(\mathcal{S}) = X(\mathcal{D})$ and results follows from Lemma 4.7. Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of p-divisors $\{\mathcal{D}^1, \dots, \mathcal{D}^n\}$ and the result is true for $n-1$. Assume that $\mathcal{D}^n$ is maximal with respect to the inclusion. We use the induction hypothesis on the divisorial fans

$$\mathcal{S}_1 := \{\mathcal{D}^n\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_2 := \{\mathcal{D}^1, \dots, \mathcal{D}^{n-1}\}, \quad \mathcal{S}' := \{\mathcal{D}^1 \cap \mathcal{D}^n, \dots, \mathcal{D}^{n-1} \cap \mathcal{D}^n\}.$$

In what follows we will use the following notation

$$V'_{\text{triv}} = V \cap \operatorname{triv}(\mathcal{S}'), \qquad V^i_{\text{triv}} = V \cap \operatorname{triv}(\mathcal{S}_i).$$

By a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.7 we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N(\sigma(\mathcal{S}')) \times \pi_1(V'_{\text{triv}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & N(\sigma(S_i)) \times \pi_1(V^i_{\text{triv}}) \arrow[d] \\
N(\mathcal{S}') \times \pi_1(\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}')) \arrow[r, "\alpha_i \times \beta_i"] & N(S_i) \times \pi_1(\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}_i)),
\end{tikzcd}$$

for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Thus the homomorphisms induced by the inclusion $X(\mathcal{S}') \to X(\mathcal{S}_i)$ is $\alpha_i \times \beta_i$ where on each factor the corresponding homomorphism is induced by the inclusions $\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}) \to \operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}_i)$ and $N_{\mathcal{S}_i} \to N_{\mathcal{S}}$, for each i. We have the following push-out diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N(\mathcal{S}') \times \pi_1(\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}')) \arrow[r, "\alpha_1\times\beta_1"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_2\times\beta_2"] & N(\mathcal{S}_1) \times \pi_1(\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}_1)) \arrow[d] \\
N(\mathcal{S}_2) \times \pi_1(\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}_2)) \arrow[r] & N(\mathcal{S}) \times \pi_1(\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}_1) \cup \operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}_2)),
\end{tikzcd}$$

then the isomorphism $\pi_1(X(\mathcal{S})) \to N(\mathcal{S}) \times \pi_1(\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}))$ follows by the Seifert Van-Kampen Theorem. Now, we look at the homomorphism $\pi_1(U_{\mathrm{triv}}) \to \pi_1(X(\mathcal{S}))$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N(\sigma(\mathcal{S}')) \times \pi_1(V'_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & N(\sigma(\mathcal{S}_1)) \times \pi_1(V^1_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[d] \arrow[rdd, "p_1"] & \\
N(\sigma(\mathcal{S}_2)) \times \pi_1(V^2_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[rrd, "p_2"'] & N(\sigma(\mathcal{S})) \times \pi_1(V_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[rd, dotted] & \\
 & & \pi_1(X(\mathcal{S}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

where all the arrows are induced by inclusions and p_i is the composition

$$N(\sigma(\mathcal{S}_i)) \times \pi_1(V^i_{\mathrm{triv}}) \to N(S_i) \times \pi_1(V^i_{\mathrm{triv}}) \to N(S) \times \pi_1(\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}))$$

for each i. Then by the universal property of the push-out diagram we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1(U_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[r, "p"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & \pi_1(X(\mathcal{S})) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
N(\sigma(\mathcal{S})) \times \pi_1(V_{\mathrm{triv}}) \arrow[r, "p'"] & N(\mathcal{S}) \times \pi_1(\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

where p' is induced by the inclusions $N_{\sigma(\mathcal{S})} \subseteq N_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $V_{\mathrm{triv}} \subseteq \operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S})$. The statement follows. □

Proof of Theorem 4. Reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 4.3, by gluing affine $\mathbb{T}$-varieties, it is enough to prove the affine case.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a p-divisor on $(Y, N_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $X(\mathcal{D})$ have log-terminal singularities. Let $r_{\mathcal{S}} \colon X(\mathcal{S}) \to \widetilde{X}(\mathcal{D})$ be a toroidal resolution of singularities of $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{D})$ such that $\mathcal{S}$ is contraction-free and $\mathcal{D}$ has the same locus and support than $\mathcal{S}$. Thus, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & X(\sigma(\mathcal{D})) \times V_{\mathrm{triv}} \arrow[ld, "s_{\mathcal{D}}"'] \arrow[d, "i_{\mathcal{D}}"] \arrow[rd, "i_{\mathcal{S}}"] & \\
X(\mathcal{D}) & \widetilde{X}(\mathcal{D}) \arrow[l, "r"'] & X(\mathcal{S}) \arrow[l, "r_{\mathcal{S}}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $i_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $i_{\mathcal{S}}$ are inclusions, r and $r_{\mathcal{S}}$ are birational contractions and $s_{\mathcal{D}}$ is defined as the composition $r \circ i_{\mathcal{D}}$. Passing to the fundamental groups, $(r \circ r_{\mathcal{S}})_*$ is an isomorphisms by [21, Theorem1.1]. Being $X(\mathcal{S})$ smooth and $N(\mathcal{D}) = N(\mathcal{S})$ we have the isomorphisms $\pi_1(X(D)) \simeq \pi_1(X(\mathcal{S})) \simeq N(\mathcal{S}) \times \pi_1(\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{S})) = N(\mathcal{D}) \times \pi_1(\operatorname{loc}(\mathcal{D}))$. Being $i_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $i_{\mathcal{S}}$ inclusions of open subsets we have that $(i_{\mathcal{D}})_*$ and $(i_{\mathcal{S}})_*$ are surjective. We deduce that the kernel of the three homomorphims $(s_{\mathcal{D}})_*$,

$(i_{\mathcal{D}})_*$ and $(i_{\mathcal{S}})_*$ are equal. Thus $(s_{\mathcal{D}})_*\colon N(\sigma(\mathcal{D})) \times \pi_1(V_{\text{triv}}) \to N(\mathcal{D}) \times \pi_1(\text{loc}(\mathcal{D}))$ is $\alpha \times \beta$, where α is the surjection induced by the inclusion $N_{\sigma(\mathcal{D})} \subseteq N_{\mathcal{D}}$ and β is the surjection induced by the inclusion $V_{\text{triv}} \subseteq \text{loc}(\mathcal{D})$. ☐

Proof of Corolary 1. Since (X, Δ) has a unique fixed point, then it is equivariantly isomorphic to $X(\mathcal{D})$ for some p-divisor $\mathcal{D}$ with complete locus and full-dimensional tail cone on a smooth projective variety Y. Using [18, Theorem 4.9] we conclude that Y is weakly log Fano, and then by [20] we conclude that Y is simply connected and $N(\mathcal{D})$ is trivial, concluding the proof of the first claim. The second claim follows from Theorem 4 and the characterization of log Fano varieties via singularities in the Cox ring in [10, Theorem 1.1]. ☐

References

[1] Klaus Altmann and Jürgen Hausen, *Polyhedral divisors and algebraic torus actions*, Math. Ann. **334** (2006), no. 3, 557–607.

[2] Klaus Altmann, Jürgen Hausen, and Hendrik Süss, *Gluing affine torus actions via divisorial fans*, Transform. Groups **13** (2008), no. 2, 215–242.

[3] Klaus Altmann, Nathan Owen Ilten, Lars Petersen, Hendrik Süß, and Robert Vollmert, *The geometry of T-varieties*, Contributions to algebraic geometry, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012, pp. 17–69.

[4] Anders Björner, Michel Las Vergnas, Bernd Sturmfels, Neil White, and Günter M. Ziegler, *Oriented matroids*, 2nd ed., Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 46, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[5] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2010. Reprint of the 1997 edition.

[6] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 124, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.

[7] Mark Andrea A. De Cataldo, Luca Migliorini, and Mircea Mustata, *Combinatorics and Topology of Proper Toric Maps*, 2016. http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.3497.

[8] Michel Demazure, *Sous-groupes algébriques de rang maximum du groupe de Cremona*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **3** (1970), 507–588 (French).

[9] Michael W. Davis and Tadeusz Januszkiewicz, *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions*, Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), no. 2, 417–451, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-91-06217-4. MR1104531 (92i:52012)

[10] Yoshinori Gongyo, Shinnosuke Okawa, Akiyoshi Sannai, and Shunsuke Takagi, *Characterization of varieties of Fano type via singularities of Cox rings*, J. Algebraic Geom. **24** (2015), no. 1, 159–182, DOI 10.1090/S1056-3911-2014-00641-X. MR3275656

[11] Hubert Flenner and Mikhail Zaidenberg, *Normal affine surfaces with $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions*, Osaka J. Math. **40** (2003), no. 4, 981–1009.

[12] M. Franz, *The integral cohomology of toric manifolds*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **252** (2006), no. Geom. Topol., Diskret. Geom. i Teor. Mnozh., 61–70; English transl., Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **1 (252)** (2006), 53–62. MR2255969 (2007f:14050)

[13] William Fulton and Bernd Sturmfels, *Intersection theory on toric varieties*, Topology **36** (1997), no. 2, 335–353, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(96)00016-X. MR1415592 (97h:14070)

[14] William Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 131, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.

[15] ———, *Intersection theory*, 2nd ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. MR1644323

[16] Allen Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[17] G. Kempf, Finn Faye Knudsen, D. Mumford, and B. Saint-Donat, *Toroidal embeddings. I*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 339, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.

[18] Alvaro Liendo and Hendrik Süss, *Normal singularities with torus actions*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **65** (2013), no. 1, 105–130.

[19] Madhav V. Nori, *Zariski's conjecture and related problems*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **16** (1983), no. 2, 305–344.

[20] Shigeharu Takayama, *Simple connectedness of weak Fano varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. **9** (2000), no. 2, 403–407. MR1735807

[21] ———, *Local simple connectedness of resolutions of log-terminal singularities*, Internat. J. Math. **14** (2003), no. 8, 825–836.

[22] Dmitri A. Timashev, *Torus actions of complexity one*, Toric topology, 2008, pp. 349–364.

Departamento de Matemática, Universidad de Concepción, Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile
E-mail address: `alaface@udec.cl`

Instituto de Matemática y Física, Universidad de Talca, Casilla 721, Talca, Chile
E-mail address: `aliendo@inst-mat.utalca.cl`

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, 155 S 1400 E, Salt Lake City, UT 84112
E-mail address: `moraga@math.utah.edu`